

To: CalTrans, 1120 N St, Sacramento, CA 94273

From: Phoebe Lenhart, 107 Humboldt Rd, Crescent City, CA 95531



Date: Jan. 19, 2015

Re: Proposed alternatives for US HWY 101 between Eureka and Crescent City

Regarding the preliminary alternative routes presented by CalTrans to the public, it is appealing and practical to provide an alternative that is direct and safe.

The Alternatives A and B are not satisfactory options for those conditions. The Alternatives C, D, and E are ridiculous routes due to their length, curves and destruction to the environment. Frankly, it is a concern that you are offering them at all. That leaves alternative F which I would like to suggest be built

UNDERGROUND. Japan is on the western edge of the "Ring of Fire" and has successfully built numerous tunnels. The technology exists to construct tunnels that are earthquake proof. I would like CalTrans to evaluate a tunnel for this segment of US HWY 101 following Alternative F.